

FLOOR SCHEDULE FOR TUESDAY, APRIL 23, 2013

HOUSE MEETS AT:	FIRST VOTE PREDICTED:	LAST VOTE PREDICTED:
12:00 p.m.: Morning Hour 2:00 p.m.: Legislative Business Unlimited "One Minutes"	6:30 p.m.	7:00 p.m.

** Following one minute speeches, the House is expected to recess until approximately 4:30 p.m. At that time, the House will reconvene and consider the two bills listed for consideration under suspension of the Rules. Any recorded votes requested will be postponed until 6:30 p.m.

Suspensions (2 bills)

- 1) [H.R. 1067](#) – To make revisions in title 36, United States Code, as necessary to keep the title current and make technical corrections and improvements (Rep. Goodlatte – Judiciary)
- 2) [H.R. 1068](#) – To enact title 54, United States Code, "National Park Service and Related Programs", as positive law, as amended (Rep. Goodlatte – Judiciary)

TOMORROW'S OUTLOOK

The GOP Leadership has announced the following schedule for Wednesday, April 24: The House will meet at 12:00 p.m. for legislative business. The House is expected to consider [H.R. 1549](#) - "Helping Sick Americans Now Act" (Rep. Pitts – Energy and Commerce) (Subject to a Rule). The House is also is expected to consider bills under suspension of the Rules.

The Daily Quote

"The Republican strategy on sequestration has been clear for months now: sequestration is terrific because spending cuts are good...and every specific program cut by sequestration is a terrible injustice that Barack Obama should have avoided. The first round of complaints were about White House tours, of all things. That was actually useful, in a clarifying sort of way; if Republicans couldn't support cutting spending on White House tours, it's highly unlikely that there were any specific cuts they could defend. Certainly not cuts that could affect middle class Americans or wealthy contributors... Today's GOP complaint is about cuts to the Federal Aviation Administration, which in turn lead to cuts in air traffic control, which in turn means airport delays. More cuts are on the way... Deep and stupid cuts to areas of the budget where we all agree that spending makes sense. Not even the most die-hard Tea Partyer wants to do away with air traffic control. And, yet, here we are... The real story here is simple: if you want massive spending cuts, that means massive cuts to government programs that people like. And one political party has been advocating those cuts, and even risking default of the government in order to get them. No matter what Republicans say now about the effects of those cuts."

- Jonathan Bernstein, Washington Post, 4/22/13